

Refine Search

Search Results -

Term	Documents
(79 AND 78).USPT.	2
(L79 AND L78).USPT.	2

Database:

US Pre-Grant Publication Full-Text Database
 US Patents Full-Text Database
 US OCR Full-Text Database
 EPO Abstracts Database
 JPO Abstracts Database
 Derwent World Patents Index
 IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletins

Search:

L80

Refine Search

Recall Text

Clear

Interrupt

Search History

DATE: Sunday, March 07, 2004 [Printable Copy](#) [Create Case](#)

Set Name Query

side by side

Hit Count Set Name

result set

DB=USPT; PLUR=YES; OP=ADJ

<u>L80</u>	L79 and l78	2	<u>L80</u>
<u>L79</u>	call graph	163	<u>L79</u>
<u>L78</u>	L77 and l51	610	<u>L78</u>
<u>L77</u>	profil\$ or performance monitor\$	288071	<u>L77</u>
<u>L76</u>	L75 and l51	3	<u>L76</u>
<u>L75</u>	L74 and l52	325	<u>L75</u>
<u>L74</u>	invasive or introsive	24459	<u>L74</u>
<u>L73</u>	L72 and l52	4	<u>L73</u>
<u>L72</u>	code expansion	190	<u>L72</u>
<u>L71</u>	4937740.pn.	1	<u>L71</u>
<u>L70</u>	4845615.pn.	1	<u>L70</u>
<u>L69</u>	5193180.pn.	1	<u>L69</u>
<u>L68</u>	5193180.pn.	1	<u>L68</u>

<u>L67</u>	5164969.pn.	1	<u>L67</u>
<u>L66</u>	5142679.pn.	1	<u>L66</u>
<u>L65</u>	5047919.pn.	1	<u>L65</u>
<u>L64</u>	5047919.pn.	1	<u>L64</u>
<u>L63</u>	4937740.pn.	1	<u>L63</u>
<u>L62</u>	4845615.pn.	1	<u>L62</u>
<u>L61</u>	4845615.pn.	1	<u>L61</u>
<u>L60</u>	5963740.pn.	1	<u>L60</u>
<u>L59</u>	5828883.pn.	1	<u>L59</u>
<u>L58</u>	5732273.pn.	1	<u>L58</u>
<u>L57</u>	5539907.pn.	1	<u>L57</u>
<u>L56</u>	5539907.pn.	1	<u>L56</u>
<u>L55</u>	5465258.pn.	1	<u>L55</u>
<u>L54</u>	5450586.pn.	1	<u>L54</u>
<u>L53</u>	L52 and l47 and l51	3	<u>L53</u>
<u>L52</u>	profil\$.ab. or performanc.ab.	27941	<u>L52</u>
<u>L51</u>	L50 same l49	3256	<u>L51</u>
<u>L50</u>	monitor\$ near1 (code\$l or function\$l)	13003	<u>L50</u>
<u>L49</u>	add\$ or insert\$	2739557	<u>L49</u>
<u>L48</u>	add near4 (code\$l or function\$l)	13759	<u>L48</u>
<u>L47</u>	basic block	3346	<u>L47</u>
<u>L46</u>	5265254.pn.	1	<u>L46</u>
<u>L45</u>	5313616.pn.	1	<u>L45</u>
<u>L44</u>	5333304.pn.	1	<u>L44</u>
<u>L43</u>	5355487.pn.	1	<u>L43</u>
<u>L42</u>	5359533.pn.	1	<u>L42</u>
<u>L41</u>	5335344.pn.	1	<u>L41</u>
<u>L40</u>	5355484.pn.	1	<u>L40</u>
<u>L39</u>	5355487.pn.	1	<u>L39</u>
<u>L38</u>	5359533.pn.	1	<u>L38</u>
<u>L37</u>	5450586.pn.	1	<u>L37</u>
<u>L36</u>	5465258.pn.	1	<u>L36</u>
<u>L35</u>	5539907.pn.	1	<u>L35</u>
<u>L34</u>	5732273.pn.	1	<u>L34</u>
<u>L33</u>	5740443.pn.	1	<u>L33</u>
<u>L32</u>	5828883.pn.	1	<u>L32</u>
<u>L31</u>	5963740.pn.	1	<u>L31</u>
<u>L30</u>	6049666.pn.	1	<u>L30</u>
<u>L29</u>	5047919.pn.	1	<u>L29</u>
<u>L28</u>	5355487.pn.	1	<u>L28</u>
<u>L27</u>	5359533.pn.	1	<u>L27</u>

<u>L26</u>	5359533.pn.	1	<u>L26</u>
<u>L25</u>	5212794.pn.	1	<u>L25</u>
<u>L24</u>	5212794.pn.	1	<u>L24</u>
<u>L23</u>	5047919.pn.	1	<u>L23</u>
<u>L22</u>	4533997.pn.	1	<u>L22</u>
<u>L21</u>	4100532.pn.	1	<u>L21</u>
<u>L20</u>	5313616.pn.	1	<u>L20</u>
<u>L19</u>	L18 and l1	1	<u>L19</u>
<u>L18</u>	simulation	55439	<u>L18</u>
<u>L17</u>	L16 and l1	1	<u>L17</u>
<u>L16</u>	parallel	1173415	<u>L16</u>
<u>L15</u>	L14 and l1	1	<u>L15</u>
<u>L14</u>	parallel stack	584	<u>L14</u>
<u>L13</u>	L12 and l1	1	<u>L13</u>
<u>L12</u>	execut\$	275754	<u>L12</u>
<u>L11</u>	L10 and l1	1	<u>L11</u>
<u>L10</u>	end code	2328	<u>L10</u>
<u>L9</u>	L8 and l1	1	<u>L9</u>
<u>L8</u>	exit	277720	<u>L8</u>
<u>L7</u>	L6 and l1	1	<u>L7</u>
<u>L6</u>	fixed number	14650	<u>L6</u>
<u>L5</u>	L4 and l3	1	<u>L5</u>
<u>L4</u>	l1 and l2	1	<u>L4</u>
<u>L3</u>	call graph	163	<u>L3</u>
<u>L2</u>	call chain	93	<u>L2</u>
<u>L1</u>	6126329.pn.	1	<u>L1</u>

END OF SEARCH HISTORY



[> home](#) [> about](#) [> feedback](#) [> log](#)

US Patent & Trademark Office

Search DL



[> Advanced Search](#) [> Search Help/Tip](#)



Try the *new* Portal design

Browse the Digital Library Give us your feedback after using it.

ACM Digital Library

A half century of pioneering concepts and fundamental research have been digitized and indexed in a variety of ways in this special collection of works published by ACM since its inception. The ACM Digital Library includes bibliographic information, abstracts, reviews, and full texts.

Digital Library Overview

- [What's New](#)
- [FAQ](#)
- [DL Pearls](#)
- [Content and](#)

Organization

- [Terms of Usage](#)
- [Resources from Affiliated Organizations](#)

Subscription and Access Information

- > [Access Information](#)
- > [Individual Subscriptions](#)
- > [Institutional Subscriptions](#)

- [Journals](#)
- [Magazines](#)
- [Transactions](#)
- [Proceedings](#)
- [Newsletters](#)
- [Publications by Affiliated Organizations](#)
- [Special Interest Groups \(SIGs\)](#)

Personalized Services

- [My Bookshelf](#) Custom collections. Personal virtual Journals. Intelligent agent searches. Collaborative filtering.



Online Computing Reviews Service

- [OCRS](#) Access critical reviews of the computing literature using the Online Computing Reviews Service.

> Document Delivery Service

The ACM Digital Library is published by the Association for Computing Machinery. Copyright © 2004 ACM, Inc.

Read the ACM Privacy Policy and Code of Ethics
Questions? Comments? Contact webmaster@acm.org
Call: 1.800.342.6626 (USA & Canada) or +212.626.0500 (Global)
Write: ACM, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036, USA



[> home](#) [> about](#) [> feedback](#) [> login](#)

US Patent & Trademark Office



Try the *new* Portal design

Give us your opinion after using it.

Search Results

Search Results for: **[profiling and basic block and simulation and parallel]**

Found **320** of **127,944** searched.

Warning: Maximum result set of 200 exceeded. Consider refining.

Search within Results



[> Advanced Search](#)

[> Search Help/Tips](#)

Sort by: **Title** **Publication** **Publication Date** **Score**

Results 1 - 20 of 200

short listing



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10



1 A framework for performance modeling and prediction 97%



Allan Snavey , Laura Carrington , Nicole Wolter , Jesus Labarta , Rosa Badia , Avi Purkayastha

Proceedings of the 2002 ACM/IEEE conference on Supercomputing November 2002

Cycle-accurate simulation is far too slow for modeling the expected performance of full parallel applications on large HPC systems. And just running an application on a system and observing wallclock time tells you nothing about why the application performs as it does (and is anyway impossible on yet-to-be-built systems). Here we present a framework for performance modeling and prediction that is faster than cycle-accurate simulation, more informative than simple benchmarking, and is shown usefu ...

2 Execution-driven simulation of multiprocessors: address and timing 95%



analysis

S. Dwarkadas , J. R. Jump , J. B. Sinclair

ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation (TOMACS) October 1994 Volume 4 Issue 4

This article describes and evaluates an efficient execution-driven technique for the simulation of multiprocessors that includes the simulation of system memory and that is driven by real program work loads. The technique produces correctly interleaved address traces at run-time without disk access overhead or hardware support, allowing accurate simulation of the effects of a variety of architectural alternatives on programs. We have implemented a simulator based on this technique that offe ...

3 Automatic performance prediction to support cross development of 93%



parallel programs

Matthias Schumann

Proceedings of the SIGMETRICS symposium on Parallel and distributed tools

January 1996

4 Overlapping execution with transfer using non-strict execution for mobile programs 91%



Chandra Krintz , Brad Calder , Han Bok Lee , Benjamin G. Zorn

Proceedings of the eighth international conference on Architectural support for programming languages and operating systems October 1998

Volume 32 , 33 Issue 5 , 11

In order to execute a program on a remote computer, it must first be transferred over a network. This transmission incurs the over-head of network latency before execution can begin. This latency can vary greatly depending upon the size of the program., where it is located (e.g., on a local network or across the Internet), and the bandwidth available to retrieve the program. Existing technologies, like Java, require that a file be fully transferred before it can start executing. For large files an ...

5 Efficient simulation of multiprogramming 88%



W. P. Dawkins , V. Debbad , J. R. Jump , J. B. Sinclair

ACM SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review , Proceedings of the 1990 ACM SIGMETRICS conference on Measurement and modeling of computer systems

April 1990

Volume 18 Issue 1

6 Session 6B: Convergence of abstractions in high-level synthesis: 87%



Application-driven processor design exploration for power-performance trade-off analysis

Diana Marculescu , Anoop Iyer

Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE/ACM international conference on Computer-aided design November 2001

This paper presents an efficient design exploration environment for high-end core processors. The heart of the proposed design exploration framework is a two-level simulation engine that combines detailed simulation for critical portions of the code with fast profiling for the rest. Our two-level simulation methodology relies on the inherent clustered structure of application programs and is completely general and applicable to any microarchitectural power/performance simulation engine. The prop ...

7 Techniques for obtaining high performance in Java programs 87%



Iffat H. Kazi , Howard H. Chen , Berdenia Stanley , David J. Lilja

ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR) September 2000

Volume 32 Issue 3

This survey describes research directions in techniques to improve the performance of programs written in the Java programming language. The standard technique for Java execution is interpretation, which provides for extensive portability of programs. A Java interpreter dynamically executes Java bytecodes, which comprise the instruction set of the Java Virtual Machine (JVM). Execution time performance of Java programs can be improved through compilation, possibly at the expense of portability ...

8 System-level power optimization: techniques and tools 87%



Luca Benini , Giovanni de Micheli

ACM Transactions on Design Automation of Electronic Systems (TODAES) April

2000

Volume 5 Issue 2

This tutorial surveys design methods for energy-efficient system-level design. We consider electronic systems consisting of a hardware platform and software layers. We consider the three major constituents of hardware that consume energy, namely computation, communication, and storage units, and we review methods of reducing their energy consumption. We also study models for analyzing the energy cost of software, and methods for energy-efficient software design and compilation. This survey ...

9 Application domains for fixed-length block structured architectures 87%



Lieven Eeckhout , Tom Vander Aa , Bart Goeman , Hans Vandierendonck , Rudy Lauwereins , Koen De Bosschere

Australian Computer Science Communications , Proceedings of the 6th Australasian conference on Computer systems architecture January 2001

Volume 23 Issue 4

In order to tackle the growing complexity and interconnects problem in modern microprocessor architectures, computer architects have come up with new architectural paradigms. A fixed-length block structured architecture (BSA) is one of these paradigms. The basic idea of a BSA is to generate blocks of instructions, called BSA-blocks, statically (by the compiler) and executing these blocks on a decentralized microarchitecture. In this paper, we focus on possible application domains for this archit ...

10 Shade: a fast instruction-set simulator for execution profiling 87%



Bob Cmelik , David Keppel

ACM SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review , Proceedings of the 1994 ACM SIGMETRICS conference on Measurement and modeling of computer systems May 1994

Volume 22 Issue 1

Tracing tools are used widely to help analyze, design, and tune both hardware and software systems. This paper describes a tool called Shade which combines efficient instruction-set simulation with a flexible, extensible trace generation capability. Efficiency is achieved by dynamically compiling and caching code to simulate and trace the application program. The user may control the extent of tracing in a variety of ways; arbitrarily detailed application state information may be collected ...

11 The rice parallel processing testbed 87%



R. C. Covington , S. Madala , V. Mehta , J. R. Jump , J. B. Sinclair

Proceedings of the 1988 ACM SIGMETRICS conference on Measurement and modeling of computer systems May 1988






12 Superscalar microarchitecture: Fetching instruction streams 85%



Alex Ramirez , Oliverio J. Santana , Josep L. Larriba-Pey , Mateo Valero

Proceedings of the 35th annual ACM/IEEE international symposium on Microarchitecture November 2002

Fetch performance is a very important factor because it effectively limits the overall processor performance. However, there is little performance advantage in increasing front-end performance beyond what the back-end can consume. For each processor design, the target is to build the best possible fetch engine for the required performance level. A fetch engine will be better if it provides better performance, but also if it takes fewer resources, requires less chip area, or consumes less power. In ...

- 13** Improving dynamic cluster assignment for clustered trace cache processors 85%
-  Ravi Bhargava , Lizy K. John
ACM SIGARCH Computer Architecture News , Proceedings of the 30th annual international symposium on Computer architecture May 2003
 Volume 31 Issue 2
 This work examines dynamic cluster assignment for a clustered trace cache processor (CTCP). Previously proposed cluster assignment techniques run into unique problems as issue width and cluster count increase. Realistic design conditions, such as variable data forwarding latencies between clusters and a heavily partitioned instruction window, increase the degree of difficulty for effective cluster assignment. In this work, the trace cache and fill unit are used to perform dynamic cluster assignme ...
- 14** Continuous profiling: where have all the cycles gone? 85%
-  Jennifer M. Anderson , Lance M. Berc , Jeffrey Dean , Sanjay Ghemawat , Monika R. Henzinger , Shun-Tak A. Leung , Richard L. Sites , Mark T. Vandevoorde , Carl A. Waldspurger , William E. Weihl
ACM SIGOPS Operating Systems Review , Proceedings of the sixteenth ACM symposium on Operating systems principles October 1997
 Volume 31 Issue 5
- 15** Continuous profiling: where have all the cycles gone? 85%
-  Jennifer M. Anderson , Lance M. Berc , Jeffrey Dean , Sanjay Ghemawat , Monika R. Henzinger , Shun-Tak A. Leung , Richard L. Sites , Mark T. Vandevoorde , Carl A. Waldspurger , William E. Weihl
ACM Transactions on Computer Systems (TOCS) November 1997
 Volume 15 Issue 4
 This article describes the Digital Continuous Profiling Infrastructure, a sampling-based profiling system designed to run continuously on production systems. The system supports multiprocessors, works on unmodified executables, and collects profiles for entire systems, including user programs, shared libraries, and the operating system kernel. Samples are collected at a high rate (over 5200 samples/sec. per 333MHz processor), yet with low overhead (1-3% slowdown for most workloads). A ...
- 16** EEL: machine-independent executable editing 85%
-  James R. Larus , Eric Schnarr
ACM SIGPLAN Notices , Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 1995 conference on Programming language design and implementation June 1995
 Volume 30 Issue 6
 EEL (Executable Editing Library) is a library for building tools to analyze and modify an executable (compiled) program. The systems and languages communities have built many tools for error detection, fault isolation, architecture translation, performance measurement, simulation, and optimization using this approach of modifying executables. Currently, however, tools of this sort are difficult and time-consuming to write and are usually closely tied to a particular machine and operating sy ...
- 17** Limits of instruction-level parallelism 85%
-  David W. Wall
Proceedings of the fourth international conference on Architectural support for programming languages and operating systems April 1991
 Volume 19 , 25 , 26 Issue 2 , Special Issue , 4

18 Exploiting dual data-memory banks in digital signal processors

85%



Mazen A. R. Saghir , Paul Chow , Corinna G. Lee

Proceedings of the seventh international conference on Architectural support for programming languages and operating systems September 1996

Volume 31 , 30 Issue 9 , 5

Over the past decade, digital signal processors (DSPs) have emerged as the processors of choice for implementing embedded applications in high-volume consumer products. Through their use of specialized hardware features and small chip areas, DSPs provide the high performance necessary for embedded applications at the low costs demanded by the high-volume consumer market. One feature commonly found in DSPs is the use of dual data-memory banks to double the memory system's bandwidth. When coupled ...

19 Compactly representing parallel program executions

85%



Ankit Goel , Abhik Roychoudhury , Tulika Mitra

ACM SIGPLAN Notices , Proceedings of the ninth ACM SIGPLAN symposium on Principles and practice of parallel programming June 2003

Volume 38 Issue 10

Collecting a program's execution profile is important for many reasons: code optimization, memory layout, program debugging and program comprehension. Path based execution profiles are more detailed than count based execution profiles, since they present the *order* of execution of the various blocks in a program: modules, procedures, basic blocks etc. Recently, online string compression techniques have been employed for collecting compact representations of sequential program executions. I ...

20 Continuous program optimization: A case study

85%



Thomas Kistler , Michael Franz

ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems (TOPLAS) July 2003

Volume 25 Issue 4

Much of the software in everyday operation is not making optimal use of the hardware on which it actually runs. Among the reasons for this discrepancy are hardware/software mismatches, modularization overheads introduced by software engineering considerations, and the inability of systems to adapt to users' behaviors. A solution to these problems is to delay code generation until load time. This is the earliest point at which a piece of software can be fine-tuned to the actual capabilities of the ...

Results 1 - 20 of 200**short listing**Prev
Page

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Next
Page

The ACM Portal is published by the Association for Computing Machinery. Copyright © 2004 ACM, Inc.



[> home](#) [> about](#) [> feedback](#) [> log](#)

US Patent & Trademark Office

Search DL → 

[> Advanced Search](#) [> Search Help/Tip](#)



Try the *new* Portal design

Browse the Digital Library Give us your feedback after using it.

ACM Digital Library

A half century of pioneering concepts and fundamental research have been digitized and indexed in a variety of ways in this special collection of works published by ACM since its inception. The ACM Digital Library includes bibliographic information, abstracts, reviews, and full texts.

Digital Library Overview

- **What's New**
- **FAQ**
- **DL Pearls**
- **Content and**

Organization

- **Terms of Usage**
- **Resources from**

Affiliated Organizations

Subscription and Access Information

- > Access Information
- > Individual Subscriptions
- > Institutional Subscriptions

- **Journals**
- **Magazines**
- **Transactions**
- **Proceedings**
- **Newsletters**
- **Publications by Affiliated Organizations**
- **Special Interest Groups (SIGs)**

Personalized Services

- **My Bookshelf** Custom collections. Personal virtual Journals. Intelligent agent searches. Collaborative filtering.



Online Computing Reviews Service

- **OCRS** Access critical reviews of the computing literature using the Online Computing Reviews Service.

> Document Delivery Service

The ACM Digital Library is published by the Association for Computing Machinery. Copyright © 2004 ACM, Inc.

Read the ACM Privacy Policy and Code of Ethics
Questions? Comments? Contact webmaster@acm.org
Call: 1.800.342.6626 (USA & Canada) or +212.626.0500 (Global)
Write: ACM, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036, USA

First Hit Fwd Refs
End of Result Set

☐ **Generate Collection** **Print**

L23: Entry 1 of 1

File: USPT

Oct 3, 2000

US-PAT-NO: 6126329

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6126329 A

*issued by me**Majid*

TITLE: Method and apparatus for accurate profiling of computer programs

DATE-ISSUED: October 3, 2000

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Bennett; James	Menlo Park	CA		
Anderson; Mark	Palo Alto	CA		
Na; Choon Piau	Saratoga	CA		
Hastings; Reed	La Honda	CA		

071970,315
071718,573

US-CL-CURRENT: 717/127; 714/35, 717/130, 717/133

CLAIMS:

What is claimed is:

Claim 1 1. A computer implemented method for profiling execution of a computer program having functions comprising instructions, the method comprising the steps of:

Claim 10 for each function, parsing the function instructions into basic blocks, wherein a basic block consists of a contiguous sequence of at least one instruction of which the first instruction is a program control transfer destination, the last instruction is a program control transfer instruction, and any instructions between first and last instructions are neither program control transfer destinations nor program control transfer instructions; and *Claim 11*

for each basic block, performing under computer control, the steps of

Claim 1 (i) selecting a basic block;

analyzing the selected basic block to determine a fixed number of clock cycles for the selected basic block, and to determine whether the selected basic block contains an operating system (OS) call; and *Claim 11*

Claim 1 (ii) adding basic block profiling code so as to be executed whenever the basic block is executed, said basic block profiling code operating to add the determined fixed number of clock cycles to a clock cycle accumulator for the function, and further including, for at least one selected basic block containing an OS call, the OS call timing profiling steps of *Claim 11*

adding timing start code to determine a start time immediately before executing the OS call;

adding timing end code to determine an end time immediately after executing the OS call;

adding OS call timing calculation code to determine from the start time and end time a number of clock cycles required to execute the OS call; and

adding OS call timing recording code to add the determined number of clock cycles to a clock cycle accumulator for the function;

wherein said OS call timing profiling steps are performed for substantially only basic blocks containing indeterminate-length-OS-calls.

2. A computer implemented method for profiling execution of a computer program having functions, the functions having instructions, the method comprising the steps of:

a) augmenting the program by adding profiling code to the program;

b) executing the augmented program and collecting profiling data for functions of the program, said profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions wherein the self+descendants times are percentages of a total execution time for the program; *chain 4* % Total exec. time

c) constructing a call graph for the program; and

d) displaying to a user a representation of the call graph including arcs connecting nodes, wherein each arc links a parent function to a child

function and has a width corresponding to the self+descendants time for the child function, and wherein the step of displaying the representation of the call graph comprises displaying a call graph in which each arc has a width having a linear relationship to the percentage self+descendants time of the child function of the arc.

3. A computer implemented method for profiling execution of a computer program having the functions, the functions having instruction, the method comprising the steps of:

a) augmenting the program by adding profiling code to the program;

b) executing the augmented program and collecting profiling data for functions of the program, said profiling including self+descendants times for the functions wherein the self+descendants times are percentages of a total execution time for the program;

c) constructing a call graph for the program; and

d) displaying to a user a representation of the call graph including arcs connecting nodes, wherein each arc links a parent function to a child function and has a width corresponding to the self+descendants time for the child function and wherein the step of displaying the representation of the call graph comprise displaying a call graph in which each arc has a width having a logarithmic relationship to the percentage self+descendants time of the child function of the arc.

4. A computer implemented method for profiling execution of a computer program having functions, the functions having instructions, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) augmenting the program by adding profiling code to the program;
- b) executing the augmented program and collecting profiling data for functions of the program, said profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;
- c) constructing a call graph showing function calls for the program;
- d) providing a pruning time filter value;
- d) displaying to a user a representation of the call graph including arcs connecting nodes,

wherein each arc links a parent function to a child function, wherein a set of arcs is automatically pruned from said displayed call graph representation, wherein each of said set of said automatically pruned arcs connects to a child function having a self+descendants time less than said pruning time filter value.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein said step of providing the pruning time filter value comprises automatically determining, under computer control, a time value such that a predetermined number of the functions of the program have a self+descendants time at least equal to the determined time value.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the predetermined number of functions is less than about one hundred.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the predetermined number of functions is about thirty.

8. A computer implemented method for profiling execution of a computer program having functions comprising instructions, the method comprising the steps of:

for each function, parsing the function instructions into basic block, wherein a basic block consists of a contiguous sequence of at least one instruction of which the first instruction is a program control transfer destination, the last instruction is a program control transfer instruction, and any instructions between first and last instructions are neither program control transfer destinations nor program control transfer instructions; and

for each basic block, performing under computer control, the steps of

selecting a basic block;

analyzing the selected basic block to determine a fixed number of clock cycles for the selected basic block, and to determine whether the selected basic block contains an operating system (OS) call; and

adding basic block profiling code so as to be executed whenever the basic block is executed, said basic block profiling code operating to add the determined fixed number of clock cycles to a clock

cycle accumulator for the function, and further including, for at least one selected basic block containing an OS call, the OS call timing profiling steps of

adding timing start code to determine a start time immediately before executing the OS call;

adding timing end code to determine an end time immediately after executing the OS call;

adding OS call timing calculation code to determine from the start time and end time a number of clock cycles required to execute the OS call; and

adding OS call timing recording code to add the determined number of clock cycles to a clock cycle accumulator for the function;

wherein the added basic block profiling code operates to add the determined fixed number of clock cycles, and the added OS call timing recording code operates to add the determined number of cycles required to execute the OS call, to a clock cycle accumulator for the selected basic block of the function.

Hit List

[Clear](#) [Generate Collection](#) [Print](#) [Fwd Refs](#) [Bkwd Refs](#)
[Generate OACS](#)

Search Results - Record(s) 1 through 1 of 1 returned.

☐ 1. Document ID: US 4845615 A

L70: Entry 1 of 1

File: USPT

Jul 4, 1989

US-PAT-NO: 4845615

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 4845615 A

TITLE: Software performance analyzer

DATE-ISSUED: July 4, 1989

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Blasciak; Andrew J.	Colorado Springs	CO		

ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
Hewlett-Packard Company	Palo Alto	CA			02

APPL-NO: 07/ 106947 [PALM]

DATE FILED: October 14, 1987

PARENT-CASE:

This application is a continuation of application Ser. No. 605,515, filed Apr. 30, 1984, now abandoned.

INT-CL: [04] G06F 11/34

US-CL-ISSUED: 364/200; 364/265.6, 364/267.1, 364/267.3, 364/267.4, 364/300

US-CL-CURRENT: 714/10; 714/47

FIELD-OF-SEARCH: 364/2MSFile, 364/9MSFile, 364/300

PRIOR-ART-DISCLOSED:

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

PAT-NO	ISSUE-DATE	PATENTEE-NAME	US-CL
<u>4080650</u>	March 1978	Beckett	364/200
<u>4231106</u>	October 1980	Heap et al.	364/900
<u>4321666</u>	March 1982	Tasar et al.	364/200

h e b b c g b e e c h e f f

<u>4338660</u>	July 1982	Kelley et al.	364/200
<u>4382179</u>	May 1983	Penton	377/20
<u>4486827</u>	December 1984	Shima et al.	364/200

ART-UNIT: 237

PRIMARY-EXAMINER: Shaw; Gareth D.

ASSISTANT-EXAMINER: Eakman; Christina M.

ATTY-AGENT-FIRM: Miller; Edward L.

ABSTRACT:

A software performance analyzer nonintrusively measures six different aspects of software execution. These include histograms or a table indicating the degree of memory activity within a collection of specified address ranges, or indicating the amount of memory or bus activity caused by the execution of programming fetched from within a collection of specified ranges, or indicating for a specified program the relative frequency with which it actually executes in specified lengths of time, or indicating for a specified program the relative frequency of a collection of specified available potential execution times (i.e., the complement of the previous measurement), or indicating for two specified programs the relative frequency of a specified collection time intervals between the end of one of the programs and the start of the other, or lastly, indicating the number of transitions between selected pairs of programs. All measurements may be either percentages relative to only the specified programs or ranges, or may be absolute percentages with respect to all activity occurring during the measurement. Acquired data may be in terms of time or of qualified occurrences of a specified event. Enable/disable and windowing for context recognition are available. The measurements are made by randomly choosing and monitoring a first range for a selected period of time. An address range detector and bus status recognizer supply information to a state machine configured to control the particular type of measurement desired. Various counters are responsive to the state machine and accumulate data later reduced by software controlling the software performance analyzer. At the end of the monitoring period the next address range is monitored, and so on until the entire list has been used, whereupon a new random starting range is chosen and the measurement continues. The first two types of measurements listed above may also be performed in a real-time mode where two ranges are in fact monitored simultaneously and nearly continuously.

6 Claims, 19 Drawing figures

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sentence	Attachments	Claims	KINC	Draw Da
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	----------	-------------	--------	------	---------

Clear

Generate Collection

Print

Fwd Refs

Bkwd Refs

Generate OACS

Term	Documents
"4845615"	1
4845615S	0
"4845615".PN..USPT.	1

(4845615.PN.).USPT.	1
---------------------	---

Display Format:

TI

Change Format[Previous Page](#)[Next Page](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

First Hit Fwd Refs
End of Result Set

John Chavez
Eric Stamber

☐ Generate Collection

L29: Entry 2 of 2

File: USPT

Apr 11, 2000

US-PAT-NO: 6049666

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6049666 A

TITLE: Method and apparatus for accurate profiling of computer programs

DATE-ISSUED: April 11, 2000

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Bennett; James	Menlo Park	CA		
Anderson; Mark	Palo Alto	CA		
Na; Choon Piaw	Saratoga	CA		
Hastings; Reed	La Honda	CA		

US-CL-CURRENT: 717/130

CLAIMS:

What is claimed is:

1. A method for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

collecting profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

constructing a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

pruning the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a self+descendants time that is less than a pruning time filter value; and

displaying a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the pruning time filter value is automatically determined under computer control so that a predetermined number of functions of the computer program have self+descendants times equal to or greater than the pruning time filter value.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is less than one hundred.

4. The method of claim 3, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer

program is thirty.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the graphical representations of the self+descendants times for the functions are the widths of the arcs such that the widths are proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the widths of the arcs are linearly or exponentially proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein collecting profiling data includes determining a time for an operating system call by recording a start time before the operating system call and recording an end time after the operating system call such that the time for the operating system call is the difference between the start and stop times.

8. The method of claim 1, further comprising augmenting the computer program to add profiling code.

9. A computer program product for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

computer code that collects profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

computer code that constructs a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

computer code that prunes the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a self+descendants time that is less than a pruning time filter value;

computer code that displays a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions; and

a computer readable medium that stores said computer codes.

10. A method for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

collecting profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

constructing a call graph of function calls for the computer program; and

displaying a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions, wherein the graphical representations of the self+descendants times for the functions are the widths of the arcs such that the widths are proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the widths of the arcs are linearly or exponentially proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

12. The method of claim 10, further comprising pruning the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a self+descendants time that is less than a pruning time filter value.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein the pruning time filter value is automatically determined under computer control so that a predetermined number of functions of the computer program have self+descendants times equal to or greater than the pruning time filter value.

14. The method of claim 13, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is less than one hundred.

15. The method of claim 14, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is thirty.

16. The method of claim 10, wherein collecting profiling data includes determining a time for an operating system call by recording a start time before the operating system call and recording an end time after the operating system call such that the time for the operating system call is the difference between the start and stop times.

17. The method of claim 10, further comprising augmenting the computer program to add profiling code.

18. A computer program product for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

computer code that collects profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

computer code that constructs a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

computer code that displays a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions, wherein the graphical representations of the self+descendants times for the functions are the widths of the arcs such that the widths are proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions; and

a computer readable medium that stores said computer codes.

19. A method for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

collecting profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

constructing a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

pruning the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a self+descendants time that is less than a pruning time filter value, wherein the pruning time filter value is automatically determined under computer control so that a predetermined number of

functions of the computer program have self+descendants times equal to or greater than the pruning time filter value; and

displaying a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions.

20. The method of claim 19, wherein the graphical representations of the self+descendants times for the functions are the widths of the arcs such that the widths are proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

21. The method of claim 20, wherein the widths of the arcs are linearly or exponentially proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

22. The method of claim 19, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is less than one hundred.

23. The method of claim 22, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is thirty.

24. The method of claim 19, wherein collecting profiling data includes determining a time for an operating system call by recording a start time before the operating system call and recording an end time after the operating system call such that the time for the operating system call is the difference between the start and stop times.

25. The method of claim 19, further comprising augmenting the computer program to add profiling code.

26. A computer program product for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

computer code that collects profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

computer code that constructs a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

computer code that prunes the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a self+descendants time that is less than a pruning time filter value, wherein the pruning time filter value is automatically determined under computer control so that a predetermined number of functions of the computer program have self+descendants times equal to or greater than the pruning time filter value;

computer code that displays a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions; and

a computer readable medium that stores said computer codes.

27. A method for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

collecting profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including times for the functions;

constructing a call graph of function calls for the computer program; and

displaying a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative times for the functions.

28. The method of claim 27, wherein the graphical representations of the times for the functions are the widths of the arcs such that the widths are proportional to the times for the functions.

29. The method of claim 28, wherein the widths of the arcs are linearly or exponentially proportional to the times for the functions.

30. The method of claim 27, further comprising pruning the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a time that is less than a pruning time filter value.

31. The method of claim 28, wherein the pruning time filter value is automatically determined under computer control so that a predetermined number of functions of the computer program have times equal to or greater than the pruning time filter value.

32. The method of claim 31, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is less than about one hundred.

33. The method of claim 32, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is about thirty.

34. The method of claim 27, wherein collecting profiling data includes determining a time for an operating system call by recording a start time before the operating system call and recording an end time after the operating system call such that the time for the operating system call is the difference between the start and stop times.

35. The method of claim 27, further comprising augmenting the computer program to add profiling code.

36. The method of claim 27, wherein the times are self or self+descendants times for the functions.

37. A computer program product for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

computer code that collects profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including times for the functions;

computer code that constructs a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

computer code that displays a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect

functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically approximate the relative times for the functions; and

a computer readable medium that stores said computer codes.

First Hit Fwd Refs
End of Result Set

☐ **Generate Collection** **Print**

L29: Entry 2 of 2

File: USPT

Apr 11, 2000

US-PAT-NO: 6049666

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6049666 A

TITLE: Method and apparatus for accurate profiling of computer programs

DATE-ISSUED: April 11, 2000

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Bennett; James	Menlo Park	CA		
Anderson; Mark	Palo Alto	CA		
Na; Choon Piaw	Saratoga	CA		
Hastings; Reed	La Honda	CA		

US-CL-CURRENT: 717/130

CLAIMS:

What is claimed is:

1. A method for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

collecting profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

constructing a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

pruning the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a self+descendants time that is less than a pruning time filter value; and

displaying a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the pruning time filter value is automatically determined under computer control so that a predetermined number of functions of the computer program have self+descendants times equal to or greater than the pruning time filter value.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is less than one hundred.

4. The method of claim 3, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer

program is thirty.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the graphical representations of the self+descendants times for the functions are the widths of the arcs such that the widths are proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the widths of the arcs are linearly or exponentially proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein collecting profiling data includes determining a time for an operating system call by recording a start time before the operating system call and recording an end time after the operating system call such that the time for the operating system call is the difference between the start and stop times.

8. The method of claim 1, further comprising augmenting the computer program to add profiling code.

9. A computer program product for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

computer code that collects profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

computer code that constructs a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

computer code that prunes the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a self+descendants time that is less than a pruning time filter value;

computer code that displays a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions; and

a computer readable medium that stores said computer codes.

10. A method for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

collecting profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

constructing a call graph of function calls for the computer program; and

displaying a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions, wherein the graphical representations of the self+descendants times for the functions are the widths of the arcs such that the widths are proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the widths of the arcs are linearly or exponentially proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

12. The method of claim 10, further comprising pruning the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a self+descendants time that is less than a pruning time filter value.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein the pruning time filter value is automatically determined under computer control so that a predetermined number of functions of the computer program have self+descendants times equal to or greater than the pruning time filter value.

14. The method of claim 13, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is less than one hundred.

15. The method of claim 14, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is thirty.

16. The method of claim 10, wherein collecting profiling data includes determining a time for an operating system call by recording a start time before the operating system call and recording an end time after the operating system call such that the time for the operating system call is the difference between the start and stop times.

17. The method of claim 10, further comprising augmenting the computer program to add profiling code.

18. A computer program product for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

computer code that collects profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

computer code that constructs a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

computer code that displays a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions, wherein the graphical representations of the self+descendants times for the functions are the widths of the arcs such that the widths are proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions; and

a computer readable medium that stores said computer codes.

19. A method for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

collecting profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

constructing a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

pruning the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a self+descendants time that is less than a pruning time filter value, wherein the pruning time filter value is automatically determined under computer control so that a predetermined number of

functions of the computer program have self+descendants times equal to or greater than the pruning time filter value; and

displaying a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions.

20. The method of claim 19, wherein the graphical representations of the self+descendants times for the functions are the widths of the arcs such that the widths are proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

21. The method of claim 20, wherein the widths of the arcs are linearly or exponentially proportional to the self+descendants times for the functions.

22. The method of claim 19, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is less than one hundred.

23. The method of claim 22, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is thirty.

24. The method of claim 19, wherein collecting profiling data includes determining a time for an operating system call by recording a start time before the operating system call and recording an end time after the operating system call such that the time for the operating system call is the difference between the start and stop times.

25. The method of claim 19, further comprising augmenting the computer program to add profiling code.

26. A computer program product for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

computer code that collects profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including self+descendants times for the functions;

computer code that constructs a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

computer code that prunes the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a self+descendants time that is less than a pruning time filter value, wherein the pruning time filter value is automatically determined under computer control so that a predetermined number of functions of the computer program have self+descendants times equal to or greater than the pruning time filter value;

computer code that displays a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative self+descendants times for the functions; and

a computer readable medium that stores said computer codes.

27. A method for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

collecting profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including times for the functions;

constructing a call graph of function calls for the computer program; and

displaying a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically show the relative times for the functions.

28. The method of claim 27, wherein the graphical representations of the times for the functions are the widths of the arcs such that the widths are proportional to the times for the functions.

29. The method of claim 28, wherein the widths of the arcs are linearly or exponentially proportional to the times for the functions.

30. The method of claim 27, further comprising pruning the call graph to remove arcs depicting a function call to a function that has a time that is less than a pruning time filter value.

31. The method of claim 28, wherein the pruning time filter value is automatically determined under computer control so that a predetermined number of functions of the computer program have times equal to or greater than the pruning time filter value.

32. The method of claim 31, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is less than about one hundred.

33. The method of claim 32, wherein the predetermined number of functions of the computer program is about thirty.

34. The method of claim 27, wherein collecting profiling data includes determining a time for an operating system call by recording a start time before the operating system call and recording an end time after the operating system call such that the time for the operating system call is the difference between the start and stop times.

35. The method of claim 27, further comprising augmenting the computer program to add profiling code.

36. The method of claim 27, wherein the times are self or self+descendants times for the functions.

37. A computer program product for profiling execution of a computer program including functions, comprising:

computer code that collects profiling data for the functions during execution of the computer program, the profiling data including times for the functions;

computer code that constructs a call graph of function calls for the computer program;

computer code that displays a representation of the call graph including arcs that connect

functions to depict function calls and graphical representations that graphically approximate the relative times for the functions; and

a computer readable medium that stores said computer codes.

Hit List

Clear

Generate Collection

Print

Fwd Refs

Bkwd Refs

Generate OACS

Search Results - Record(s) 1 through 1 of 1 returned.

☐ 1. Document ID: US 6049666 A

L30: Entry 1 of 1

File: USPT

Apr 11, 2000

US-PAT-NO: 6049666

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6049666 A

TITLE: Method and apparatus for accurate profiling of computer programs

DATE-ISSUED: April 11, 2000

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Bennett; James	Menlo Park	CA		
Anderson; Mark	Palo Alto	CA		
Na; Choon Piau	Saratoga	CA		
Hastings; Reed	La Honda	CA		

ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
Rational Software Corp.	Santa Clara	CA			02

APPL-NO: 09/ 138111 [PALM]

DATE FILED: August 21, 1998

PARENT-CASE:

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS This application is a continuation of Ser. No. 08/871,247, filed Jun. 9, 1997; which is a continuation of Ser. No. 08/074,428, now abandoned, filed Jun. 8, 1993. This application is related to U.S. Ser. No. 07/970,315, filed on Nov. 2, 1992 now U.S. Pat. No. 5,335,344, which is a continuation of U.S. Ser. No. 07/718,573, filed on Jun. 21, 1991, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,193,180.

INT-CL: [07] G06 F 9/44

US-CL-ISSUED: 395/704

US-CL-CURRENT: 717/130

FIELD-OF-SEARCH: 395/704

PRIOR-ART-DISCLOSED:

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

h e b b g e e e f e f e f b e

PAT-NO	ISSUE-DATE	PATENTEE-NAME	US-CL
<u>4845615</u>	July 1989	Blasciak	364/200
<u>4937740</u>	June 1990	Agarwal et al.	364/200
<u>5047919</u>	September 1991	Sterling et al.	364/200
<u>5142679</u>	August 1992	Owaki et al.	395/700
<u>5164969</u>	November 1992	Alley et al.	
<u>5193180</u>	March 1993	Hastings	395/704
<u>5212794</u>	May 1993	Pettis et al.	395/700
<u>5247651</u>	September 1993	Clarisse	395/500
<u>5265254</u>	November 1993	Blasciak et al.	395/704
<u>5313616</u>	May 1994	Cline et al.	395/500
<u>5333304</u>	July 1994	Christensen et al.	395/575
<u>5335344</u>	August 1994	Hastings	395/704
<u>5355484</u>	October 1994	Record et al.	395/704
<u>5355487</u>	October 1994	Kellar et al.	395/650
<u>5359533</u>	October 1994	Ricka et al.	364/484
<u>5450586</u>	September 1995	Kuzara et al.	395/704
<u>5465258</u>	November 1995	Adams	395/704
<u>5539907</u>	July 1996	Srivastava et al.	395/705
<u>5732273</u>	March 1998	Srivastava et al.	395/704
<u>5740443</u>	April 1998	Carini	395/705
<u>5828883</u>	October 1998	Hall	395/704
<u>5963740</u>	October 1999	Srivastava et al.	395/704

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Graham et al., GPROF; A Call Graph Execution Profiler, p. 120-126 (1-16) 1982.
Hilfingen, A Memory Allocation Profiler for C and Lisp Programs, p. 223-37, 1988.
Ponder et al., Inaccuracies in Program Profilers, p. 459-467, 1987.
Graham et al., Executions Profilers for modular Programs.
Michael D. Smith, "Tracing with Pixie," Apr. 4, 1991, Stanford University Technical Report No. CSL-TR-91-497, pp. 1-29.
"Pixie", UNIX man pp. 1-2.
James R. Larus et al., "Re-writing Executable Files to Measure Program Behavior", Mar. 25, 1992, Univ. of Wisconsin, Computer Science Department.
Thomas Ball, "Optimally Profiling and Tracing Programs", Sep. 6, 1991, Univ. of Wisconsin, Computer Science Department.

ART-UNIT: 272

PRIMARY-EXAMINER: Stamber; Eric W.

ASSISTANT-EXAMINER: Chavis; John Q.

ATTY-AGENT-FIRM: Ritter, Van Pelt & Yi, LLP

ABSTRACT:

An object code expansion profiler equips a program for execution profiling by preprocessing the object code files of the program so as to add profiling

monitoring code to the beginning of all or substantially all functions. The preprocessing includes, for each function, the steps of grouping the function's instructions into basic blocks, counting the number of cycles required to execute the instructions of the basic block, and inserting special monitoring code with the basic block. The special monitoring code is executed each time the basic block is executed, and updates the profiling information to reflect the number of cycles required to execute the basic block. Special handling is provided for profiling calls to the Operating System (OS). The resultant profiling information is converted into a call graph image most useful for human users. For each arc in the graph connecting a calling-function/parent-node to a called-function/child node, the displayed arc image has a width logarithmically proportional to the self+descendants time for the called function.

37 Claims, 25 Drawing figures

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequence	Attachment	Claims	RWC	Draw. De
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	----------	------------	--------	-----	----------

[Clear](#)[Generate Collection](#)[Print](#)[Fwd Refs](#)[Bkwd Refs](#)[Generate OACS](#)

Term	Documents
"6049666"	1
6049666S	0
"6049666".PN..USPT.	1
(6049666.PN.).USPT.	1

Display Format:

FRO

[Change Format](#)[Previous Page](#)[Next Page](#)[Go to Doc#](#)